



The Power of “Plain Text” & the Importance of Meaningful Content

Dr. Ken Lunde | Senior Computer Scientist | Adobe Systems Incorporated



What Gives “Plain Text” Its Power?

- **Represents raw text data**

What Gives “Plain Text” Its Power?

- Represents raw text data
- **Can endure in more environments**

What Gives “Plain Text” Its Power?

- Represents raw text data
- Can endure in more environments
- **Survives transcoding operations**

What Gives “Plain Text” Its Power?

- Represents raw text data
- Can endure in more environments
- Survives transcoding operations
 - Between UTFs: *100%*

What Gives “Plain Text” Its Power?

- Represents raw text data
- Can endure in more environments
- Survives transcoding operations
 - Between UTFs: 100%
 - Between UTFs and legacy encodings: *it depends on the legacy encoding*

What Gives “Plain Text” Its Power?

- Represents raw text data
- Can endure in more environments
- Survives transcoding operations
 - Between UTFs: 100%
 - Between UTFs and legacy encodings: it depends on the legacy encoding
- **Persists throughout a document workflow**

What Gives “Plain Text” Its Power?

- Represents raw text data
- Can endure in more environments
- Survives transcoding operations
 - Between UTFs: 100%
 - Between UTFs and legacy encodings: it depends on the legacy encoding
- Persists throughout a document workflow
- **Can be edited, searched, copied, pasted, imported, and exported**

What Gives “Plain Text” Its Power?

- Represents raw text data
- Can endure in more environments
- Survives transcoding operations
 - Between UTFs: 100%
 - Between UTFs and legacy encodings: it depends on the legacy encoding
- Persists throughout a document workflow
- Can be edited, searched, copied, pasted, imported, and exported
- **Can be repurposed, mined, analyzed, and transliterated**

What Gives “Plain Text” Its Power?

- Represents raw text data
- Can endure in more environments
- Survives transcoding operations
 - Between UTFs: 100%
 - Between UTFs and legacy encodings: it depends on the legacy encoding
- Persists throughout a document workflow
- Can be edited, searched, copied, pasted, imported, and exported
- Can be repurposed, mined, analyzed, and transliterated
- **Can be stylized, made rich, or marked-up**

What Gives “Plain Text” Its Power?

- Represents raw text data
- Can endure in more environments
- Survives transcoding operations
 - Between UTFs: 100%
 - Between UTFs and legacy encodings: it depends on the legacy encoding
- Persists throughout a document workflow
- Can be edited, searched, copied, pasted, imported, and exported
- Can be repurposed, mined, analyzed, and transliterated
- Can be stylized, made rich, or marked-up
- **Serves as the foundation for “meaningful content”**

What Gives “Plain Text” Its Power?

- Represents raw text data
- Can endure in more environments
- Survives transcoding operations
 - Between UTFs: 100%
 - Between UTFs and legacy encodings: it depends on the legacy encoding
- Persists throughout a document workflow
- Can be edited, searched, copied, pasted, imported, and exported
- Can be repurposed, mined, analyzed, and transliterated
- Can be stylized, made rich, or marked-up
- Serves as the foundation for “meaningful content”
- **Unicode “plain text” is far more usable than that based on legacy encodings**

Why Is “Meaningful Content” Important?

- **Using characters correctly—as intended—results in meaningful content**
 - Playing by-the-book—*The Unicode Standard*—is fundamental
 - Data is inaccessible and unintelligible unless its content is meaningful

Why Is “Meaningful Content” Important?

- Using characters correctly—as intended—results in meaningful content
 - Playing by-the-book—*The Unicode Standard*—is fundamental
 - Data is inaccessible and unintelligible unless its content is meaningful
- **Unicode characters have Properties**
 - See the *Unicode Character Database* (UCD) for more details

Why Is “Meaningful Content” Important?

- Using characters correctly—as intended—results in meaningful content
 - Playing by-the-book—*The Unicode Standard*—is fundamental
 - Data is inaccessible and unintelligible unless its content is meaningful
- Unicode characters have Properties
 - See the *Unicode Character Database* (UCD) for more details
- **Presentation layer versus content layer—directly applicable to PDF**
 - Some applications can preserve both layers—Adobe InDesign via PDFLib
 - Some cannot preserve the content layer—MS Word via PDF Maker

Why Is “Meaningful Content” Important?

- Using characters correctly—as intended—results in meaningful content
 - Playing by-the-book—*The Unicode Standard*—is fundamental
 - Data is inaccessible and unintelligible unless its content is meaningful
- Unicode characters have Properties
 - See the *Unicode Character Database* (UCD) for more details
- Presentation layer versus content layer—directly applicable to PDF
 - Some applications can preserve both layers—Adobe InDesign via PDFLib
 - Some cannot preserve the content layer—MS Word via PDF Maker
- **Content is equally important as presentation**
 - For some environments—mobile and cloud computing—content is more important

Why Is “Meaningful Content” Important?

- Using characters correctly—as intended—results in meaningful content
 - Playing by-the-book—*The Unicode Standard*—is fundamental
 - Data is inaccessible and unintelligible unless its content is meaningful
- Unicode characters have Properties
 - See the *Unicode Character Database* (UCD) for more details
- Presentation layer versus content layer—directly applicable to PDF
 - Some applications can preserve both layers—Adobe InDesign via PDFLib
 - Some cannot preserve the content layer—MS Word via PDF Maker
- Content is equally important as presentation
 - For some environments—mobile and cloud computing—content is more important
- **“Meaningful content” is not possible without a “plain text” representation**

Beware of pitfalls!

“It Looks Like Double-Byte.”

— Unidentified Apple Employee

“It Looks Like Double-Byte.”

— Unidentified Apple Employee

- What is wrong with this statement?

“It Looks Like Double-Byte.”

— Unidentified Apple Employee

- What is wrong with this statement?
- **Content versus presentation**
 - *Which is more important?*

“It Looks Like Double-Byte.”

— Unidentified Apple Employee

- What is wrong with this statement?
- Content versus presentation
 - Which is more important? Correct answer: *both!*

Pitfalls Serve As “Unicode Test Cases”

- An important part of software development is testing
 - The more thorough the testing, the more robust the software
- The best way to confirm by-the-book Unicode support is through testing
- Consider how to develop “Unicode Test Cases” based on the pitfalls that follow
 - Some pitfalls are more difficult to detect than others

Pitfall #1: Code-Point Poaching

- Wildlife poaching is illegal
- Code-point poaching is not illegal, but inappropriate and a bad practice
 - The act of assigning an inappropriate glyph to the code point of an existing character
 - Imagine copying “かなと漢字” then “jqnBW” gets pasted into another document
- Can easily result in inappropriate or incorrect Unicode character properties
- Consider U+005C (REVERSE SOLIDUS)
 - Is it a Backslash (\), Yen (¥; U+00A9), or Won (₩; U+20A9)?
 - It depends...
 - Residual effects or influence from legacy standards, such as JIS X 0201 and KS X 1003
- Code-point poaching was a necessary evil for legacy encodings
- Code-point poaching sacrifices long-term stability for short-term benefits
- Somewhat difficult to detect code-point poaching
- PUA code point usage is a lesser evil

Pitfall #2: PUA Code Point Usage

- Unicode includes 137,468 Private Use Area (PUA) code points
 - 6,400 in the BMP—U+E000 through U+F8FF
 - 65,534 in Plane 15—U+F0000 through U+FFFFD
 - 65,534 in Plane 16—U+100000 through 10FFFFD
- No inherent or useful Unicode character properties
- There are absolutely no guarantees
- Must be treated as an absolute last-resort method of encoding glyphs
- Reliable only in closed environments
- Some BMP-only environments use PUA code points for non-BMP characters
 - U-PRESS is an example of such an implementation
- Very easy to detect PUA code point usage

Pitfall #3: Normalization

- Normalization standardizes a common form for multiple representations of the same character/sequence
- Depending on distinctions that are erased by Normalization is bad practice
 - An excellent example: *CJK Compatibility Ideographs*
 - U+FA47 (漢) becomes U+6F22 (漢)
 - 57 of the 985 Jinmei-yō Kanji (人名用漢字) map to CJK Compatibility Ideographs
 - 75 kanji in JIS X 0213:2004 map to CJK Compatibility Ideographs
 - CJK Compatibility Ideographs are *thought* to be encoded, but effectively are not
 - Do not forget about the twelve CJK Unified Ideographs among them!
 - They are not subject to Normalization
- Normalization can be applied at any time, by any client that acts on text data
 - Bottom line: *Do not depend on distinctions that are erased by Normalization*

Pitfall #4: Unassigned/Reserved/Noncharacter Code Point Usage

- No Unicode character properties
 - Other than being unassigned, reserved, or noncharacter code points
- Unassigned code points may become assigned in the future
 - Possible Unicode character property conflict
 - Guaranteed glyph/character mismatch
- Reserved and noncharacter code points should simply not be used

Pitfall #5: Characters That “Fall Between The Proverbial Cracks”

- The URO (Unified Repertoire & Ordering) has more than 20,902 characters
 - Unicode Version 4.1 appended 22 characters
 - Unicode Version 5.1 appended 8 more characters
 - Unicode Version 5.2 appended 8 more characters
 - *One more character was approved on 08/11/2010!*
- The twelve CJK Unified Ideographs among the CJK Compatibility Ideographs
 - U+FA0E, U+FA0F, U+FA11, U+FA13, U+FA14, U+FA1F, U+FA21, U+FA23, U+FA24, and U+FA27 through U+FA29.
 - Not subject to Normalization
- CJK Unified Ideograph “Extensions”
 - Extensions A, B, C, and D—Extension E in development—*more Extensions to follow*
- Stay up-to-date and familiar with Unicode

Pitfall #6: Fonts With Glyphs That Map From More Than One Code Point

- The 'cmap' tables of many fonts map multiple code points to the same glyph
 - It is appropriate for many cases, to ensure that the same glyph is used
 - Consider the "Kangxi Radicals" (U+2F00 through U+2FD5)
 - U+2F00 (一) and U+4E00 (一) map to the same Adobe-Japan1-6 glyph: CID+1200 (一)
- Some implementations have no method to preserve the original content
 - PDF uses "ToUnicode" mapping resources to specify a glyph's preferred code point
 - All U+2F00 → CID+1200 and U+4E00 → CID+1200 instances become U+4E00
 - When a "ToUnicode" mapping resource is not available, heuristics must be used
 - All U+2F00 and U+4E00 instances may become U+2F00
- Some implementation are able to preserve the original content
 - Adobe InDesign preserves U+2F00 and U+4E00 in the PDF content layer
- Demo...

Pitfall #7: Supporting Only BMP Code Points

- The BMP is merely one of the 17 planes of Unicode
 - The most frequently-used characters are in the BMP
- The BMP is effectively full
 - Any new block must be encoded outside the BMP
- The first beyond-BMP code points were assigned in Unicode Version 3.1
 - This ignores noncharacter and PUA code points that were assigned in Version 2.0
- As of Unicode 6.0, there are more beyond-BMP characters than BMP ones
 - 54,496 BMP "graphical" characters
 - 54,746 beyond-BMP "graphical" characters
- Today, there is no excuse for BMP-only implementations

Solutions to Code-Point Poaching & PUA Code Point Usage

- Check whether the latest version of Unicode includes the desired characters
 - Some environments support only BMP code points
- Take the time and make the effort to propose new characters
 - This is done via the appropriate National Body
 - For those in the US, the first step is to submit a proposal to the UTC
- If your application supports only BMP code points, you have work to do
 - Unicode is much more than the BMP

Solutions to Normalization of CJK Compatibility Ideographs

- Many CJK Compatibility Ideographs are thought to preserve glyph distinctions
 - This is an incorrect and dangerous assumption
 - Normalization erases such distinctions
- Ideographic Variation Sequences (IVSes) represent a viable solution
- IVS = Base Character + Variation Selector
 - A Base Character + Variation Selector sequence maps to a glyph
- IVSes are registered via Ideographic Variation Database (IVD) collections
 - IVD collections are private by default
 - Sharing IVSes across IVD collections is permitted through mutual agreement
 - The "Adobe-Japan1" IVD Collection was registered on 12/14/2007
 - <U+8FBB, U+E0100> → 辻 (CID+3056) versus <U+8FBB, U+E0101> → 辻 (CID+8267)
 - The "Hanyo-Denshi" IVD Collection was registered on 11/14/2010

Mobile & Cloud Computing Considerations

- Mobile is all about “plain text”
 - The notion of platform is blurred due to the large number of platforms
 - Interaction with other platforms is guaranteed
- Successful mobile implementations require meaningful content
 - Unicode serves as the foundation for platform-independent text data
- Unicode Version 6.0 begins to address the “emoji” issue
 - All legacy implementations of emoji are PUA- or legacy encoding-based
- Cloud computing assumes no specific platform
 - Information—and thus “plain text” with “meaningful content”—is absolutely critical
 - Repeating: *Unicode serves as the foundation for platform-independent text data*

Top Ten List: Why Support Beyond-BMP Code Points?

01—

02—

03—

04—

05—

06—

07—

08—

09—

10—

Top Ten List: Why Support Beyond-BMP Code Points?

- 01—
- 02—
- 03—
- 04—
- 05—
- 06—
- 07—
- 08—
- 09—
- 10—**GB 18030 certification without PUA requires six Extension B ideographs**

Top Ten List: Why Support Beyond-BMP Code Points?

- 01—
- 02—
- 03—
- 04—
- 05—
- 06—
- 07—
- 08—
- 09—**Hong Kong SCS-2008 includes 1,713 ideographs in Plane 2**
- 10—GB 18030 certification without PUA requires six Extension B ideographs

Top Ten List: Why Support Beyond-BMP Code Points?

- 01—
- 02—
- 03—
- 04—
- 05—
- 06—
- 07—
- 08—**JIS X 0213:2004 includes 303 ideographs in Extension B**
- 09—Hong Kong SCS-2008 includes 1,713 ideographs in Plane 2
- 10—GB 18030 certification without PUA requires six Extension B ideographs

Top Ten List: Why Support Beyond-BMP Code Points?

- 01—
- 02—
- 03—
- 04—
- 05—
- 06—
- 07—**The VSes that are required for IVS support are in Plane 14**
- 08—JIS X 0213:2004 includes 303 ideographs in Extension B
- 09—Hong Kong SCS-2008 includes 1,713 ideographs in Plane 2
- 10—GB 18030 certification without PUA requires six Extension B ideographs

Top Ten List: Why Support Beyond-BMP Code Points?

- 01—
- 02—
- 03—
- 04—
- 05—
- 06—**Many important-for-mobile emoji characters are in Plane 1**
- 07—The VSes that are required for IVS support are in Plane 14
- 08—JIS X 0213:2004 includes 303 ideographs in Extension B
- 09—Hong Kong SCS-2008 includes 1,713 ideographs in Plane 2
- 10—GB 18030 certification without PUA requires six Extension B ideographs

Top Ten List: Why Support Beyond-BMP Code Points?

- 01—
- 02—
- 03—
- 04—
- 05—**CJK Unified Ideographs Extensions B, C & D are beyond the BMP**
- 06—Many important-for-mobile emoji characters are in Plane 1
- 07—The VSes that are required for IVS support are in Plane 14
- 08—JIS X 0213:2004 includes 303 ideographs in Extension B
- 09—Hong Kong SCS-2008 includes 1,713 ideographs in Plane 2
- 10—GB 18030 certification without PUA requires six Extension B ideographs

Top Ten List: Why Support Beyond-BMP Code Points?

- 01—
- 02—
- 03—
- 04—**Unicode Version 5.1 broke the 100,000-character barrier**
- 05—CJK Unified Ideographs Extensions B, C & D are beyond the BMP
- 06—Many important-for-mobile emoji characters are in Plane 1
- 07—The VSes that are required for IVS support are in Plane 14
- 08—JIS X 0213:2004 includes 303 ideographs in Extension B
- 09—Hong Kong SCS-2008 includes 1,713 ideographs in Plane 2
- 10—GB 18030 certification without PUA requires six Extension B ideographs

Top Ten List: Why Support Beyond-BMP Code Points?

- 01—
- 02—
- 03—**Mac OS X and Windows OS support beyond-BMP code points**
- 04—Unicode Version 5.1 broke the 100,000-character barrier
- 05—CJK Unified Ideographs Extensions B, C & D are beyond the BMP
- 06—Many important-for-mobile emoji characters are in Plane 1
- 07—The VSes that are required for IVS support are in Plane 14
- 08—JIS X 0213:2004 includes 303 ideographs in Extension B
- 09—Hong Kong SCS-2008 includes 1,713 ideographs in Plane 2
- 10—GB 18030 certification without PUA requires six Extension B ideographs

Top Ten List: Why Support Beyond-BMP Code Points?

- 01—
- 02—**As of Unicode Version 6.0, there are more characters beyond the BMP**
- 03—Mac OS X and Windows OS support beyond-BMP code points
- 04—Unicode Version 5.1 broke the 100,000-character barrier
- 05—CJK Unified Ideographs Extensions B, C & D are beyond the BMP
- 06—Many important-for-mobile emoji characters are in Plane 1
- 07—The VSes that are required for IVS support are in Plane 14
- 08—JIS X 0213:2004 includes 303 ideographs in Extension B
- 09—Hong Kong SCS-2008 includes 1,713 ideographs in Plane 2
- 10—GB 18030 certification without PUA requires six Extension B ideographs

Top Ten List: Why Support Beyond-BMP Code Points?

- 01—**So you do not cripple other products that depend on your own product**
- 02—As of Unicode Version 6.0, there are more characters beyond the BMP
- 03—Mac OS X and Windows OS support beyond-BMP code points
- 04—Unicode Version 5.1 broke the 100,000-character barrier
- 05—CJK Unified Ideographs Extensions B, C & D are beyond the BMP
- 06—Many important-for-mobile emoji characters are in Plane 1
- 07—The VSes that are required for IVS support are in Plane 14
- 08—JIS X 0213:2004 includes 303 ideographs in Extension B
- 09—Hong Kong SCS-2008 includes 1,713 ideographs in Plane 2
- 10—GB 18030 certification without PUA requires six Extension B ideographs

Top Ten List: Why Support Beyond-BMP Code Points?

- 01—So you do not cripple other products that depend on your own product
- 02—As of Unicode Version 6.0, there are more characters beyond the BMP
- 03—Mac OS X and Windows OS support beyond-BMP code points
- 04—Unicode Version 5.1 broke the 100,000-character barrier
- 05—CJK Unified Ideographs Extensions B, C & D are beyond the BMP
- 06—Many important-for-mobile emoji characters are in Plane 1
- 07—The VSes that are required for IVS support are in Plane 14
- 08—JIS X 0213:2004 includes 303 ideographs in Extension B
- 09—Hong Kong SCS-2008 includes 1,713 ideographs in Plane 2
- 10—GB 18030 certification without PUA requires six Extension B ideographs

CJK Unified Ideographs “Extension B” Character Usage Example

It is important that U+20BB7...

吉 野 家

YOSHINOYA

...does not become this:

?? 野 家

YOSHINOYA



Adobe